



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.23

June 16th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "Christ was tempted with complete world power on the mountain. But such power meant a renunciation of the Kingdom of God and Christ rejected Satan's temptation. The truth about power was outlined succinctly in the famous words of the great English statesman and historian, Lord Acton: "All power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely." Here is a law as absolute as the law of gravity. The individual defies it at his peril... Those who stand idly by while centralised power is used to deny the individual the right to search for the Kingdom are traitors to God and His purpose for man. Every retreat from freedom is a retreat from God. Practical Christianity requires policies which provide the individual with freedom to make choices and which ensure that each individual is personally responsible for the choices he makes."

– Eric Dudley Butler in *"Releasing Reality: Social Credit and the Kingdom of God"*, 1979.

THE LION OF FREEDOM: It is with great sadness we learn of the passing of Eric Dudley Butler, aged 90 years. Eric was the Founder of and the National Director of the League of Rights for 47 years, and the Advisory National Director from 1993 until his retirement in 1999. We extend our sympathy to Eric's family. Eric Butler's life and teaching touched the lives of many, many people not just throughout Australia but in other parts of the English speaking world.

One of Eric's old friends tells the boyhood story of once walking along a country road and an older youth riding a bicycle approached from the other direction. As he approached, the young boy asked the older youth on the bike: "Where are you going?" The answer came: "I'm going to save Australia." Those who knew Eric, know that was the direction he took for the rest of his life. We are too close to the history of the 20th century to know what lasting effect his lifelong work had; we will leave it to history to determine that. What we do know is Australia has lost a true son and we have lost a true friend. – Donald Auchterlonie, National Director.

IF – you can keep your head when all about you Are losing theirs and blaming it on you, If you can trust yourself when all men doubt you, But make allowance for their doubting too; If you can wait and not be tired by waiting, Or being lied about, don't deal in lies, Or being hated don't give way to hating, And don't look too good, nor talk too wise;...	If you can talk with crowds and keep your virtue, Or walk with Kings – nor lose the common touch, If neither foes nor loving friends can hurt you, If all men count with you, but none too much; If you can fill the unforgiving minute With sixty seconds' worth of distance run, Yours is the Earth and everything that's in it, And – which is more – you'll be a Man, my son! by Rudyard Kipling
---	--

"ON TARGET"

June 16th, 2006

Page 1 (89)

DETAILS OF THE FUNERAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR ERIC BUTLER: We are sure there will be many folk who will want to attend the funeral service of Eric Dudley Butler hence the following details:

- The Funeral will be held on Monday, June 19th, 2006.
- Time of Service: The Service will commence at 12.00 Midday.
- The Service will be held in: The Panton Hill Hall, Main Street, Panton Hill.
- Archbishop John Hepworth of the Traditional Anglican Church of Australia will conduct the service.
- After the service the funeral cortege will continue on to the Cemetery at Kangaroo Ground, Yarra Glen Road, Kangaroo Ground.
- Fellowship and refreshments will follow at the home of Richard Butler, 270 Alma Road, Panton Hill (second house on the right after the "Butler Crescent" street sign).

COMRADS, LET'S TAKE OUT THE SCIENCES by James Reed: Kevin Donnelly, author of *"Why Our Schools Are Failing"*, has been conducting a battle against political correctness and outcomes-based education, all of which has a tendency to favour girls over boys. Recently a debate has occurred about outcome-based education, with its anti-academic, faddish and vague philosophies, in the hard sciences. We are shocked to learn from Donnelly (*The Australian*, 15/5/06, p.14) that political correctness has begun its long march through hard sciences. Thus in Western Australia a draft Year 12 physics paper asks physics students to write on "the ethics of making airbags compulsory".

And here is one for the girls from the chemistry paper: analyse "the relationship between attitudes, values, beliefs and chemical knowledge to account for the development of the cosmetic industry over time".

This is a sociological, not a chemical question – actually a question about the sociology of knowledge. The various State curricular accept the relativist doctrine that Western science is not objective. Thus the W.A. curriculum states that "aspects of scientific knowledge are constructed from a particular gender or cultural perspective". Such nonsense will lead to the falling down of bridges and ultimately the falling down of the West.

REED ON THE NEW CLASS AND ABORIGINAL VIOLENCE by Brian Simpson: James Reed in following the feminist response to the terrible Aboriginal violence situation is ignoring some facts. Yes it is true as Rosemary Neill points out that traditional Aboriginal societies treated women as chattel and property – but so did many other societies including our own – wrongly of course – until modern times. The giving of "young girls" to older men has universally occurred. The "young girls" in question have, in all societies, been of post-puberty ages. Marriage of young girls of 16 was common in the American frontier where life was uncertain. It is also found in Islamic societies. The practice did not lead to paedophilia because the societies defined the age of consent as lower than ours. I make no moral comment on this, I am just stating an anthropological fact that Reed has not considered. Neither he nor his source, Rosemary Neill, explain how a patriarchal attitude towards women leads to the rape of babies and children. One could argue for exactly the opposite conclusion.

Male rape is also rife among Aborigines (*The Weekend Australian*, 20-21/5/06, p.1) Aboriginal boys are 10 times more likely to be raped than other Australian males. A survey by Aboriginal researchers from the Queensland University of Technology found that 33 per cent of Aboriginal men said that they suffered some sexual abuse; 15 per cent were victims of attempted anal rape and 10 per cent victims of actual anal rape. These shocking – indeed appalling – statistics are not readily accountable by the idea that some sort of cultural misogyny is behind the scenes in Aboriginal culture pulling the strings. Even the racism/dislocation of cultures thesis doesn't really explain the implosion of Aboriginal societies.

What is happening now didn't happen fifty years ago. The decline began in the 1960s when the racial egalitarianism of the 1960s bleeding heart liberals changed the law which prohibited the supply of alcohol to Aborigines. This was based upon sound racial observations that Aborigines biologically and psychologically, could not cope with alcohol. Today that idea is coming back: I heard a young girl on a trendy left radio station say: "Aborigines' bodies can't cope with alcohol." She would be shocked to realise that she had admitted that racial differences exist.

Most importantly Aborigines need to become more responsible for their own actions – even the victims have unintentionally perpetrated a culture of crime. This is not my idea: Northern Territory Crown Prosecutor Nanette Rogers has been quoted as saying:

"[One] of the features of the paper is that I say that Aboriginal people in central Australia must take more responsibility for not only talking about the general issues surrounding Aboriginal male violence and the violence of men in remote communities but also taking responsibility for following through if they're a witness to the matter, following through and giving the correct evidence in court. When I say correct evidence, the evidence that's contained in their police stories. Because often what we get is that Aboriginal people will provide a statement about a particular offence then refuse to come to court, or, when they're in court, refuse to give the evidence because they say: "No, I didn't see it. No, it's not my responsibility." (Source: *The Australian*, 18/5/06, p.13)

Yes, it is your responsibility. Victimology and rants about "White racism" by well-paid academics, will not solve the problem of Aboriginal violence.

Wesley Aird of the National Indigenous Council (*The Australian*, 18/5/06, p.12) summed up the situation well in saying that the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission was one where "big man" elites through "grace and favour", "wielded tremendous power over an impoverished people with poor literacy and even poorer governance skills". This led to a "breakdown of Aboriginal society".

Increased funding will not solve the problem of Aborigines: "No amount of increased funding will improve the lot of indigenous Australia without Aboriginal community leaders taking a genuine role in redressing the problems."

THE DANCE OF DEBT by Jeremy Lee: Sheltering behind the babble that passes for economic comment lie the ever-compounding levels of debt afflicting each sector of the economy. Latest figures on the farming debt are sobering. We can bet our bottom dollar they won't be mentioned by National candidates next time they face election!

Australia's farm debt has almost doubled in the seven years since 1999, now standing at \$43 billion (*The Weekly Times*, Victoria, 15/5/06).

This is a sector which produces over a tonne of wheat for every living Australian, 25 kgs of mutton and lamb for each Australian, 600 litres of milk per head and 220 kgs of beef for everyone in the country; PLUS sugar, fruit and vegetables of every description!

Between 1965 – the time the League of Rights drew attention to the impending crisis in its startling little booklet *They Want Your Land* – and 2005, the rural debt grew by 9.1 per cent, compounding, per year.

There was a time when a few politicians dared speak out about this compounding debt and the effect on rural Australia. Prior to the advent of the Whitlam government in 1972 the Labor Shadow Agricul-

ture spokesman, Dr. Rex Patterson, outlined a realistic crisis policy for farmers, which included a five-year holiday from debt repayments and interest, to enable them to regain their feet. He proposed the use of the 'peoples' bank' (the Commonwealth) for this purpose.

Of course, this programme never saw the light of day, and the Commonwealth Bank was subsequently sold off to the private money lenders. Since then, Australia has lost almost 200,000 farmers – and they are still leaving the land. So convoluted has the argument become that spokesmen (mainly bankers) quoted in the *Weekly Times* article hailed the current debt figure as "a sign of farming investor confidence!" Presumably, if and when the debt doubles again, the few remaining farmers will have reached the promised land!

ALAN DERSHOWITZ AND THE WAR ON TERROR by Peter Ewer: Harvard law professor Alan Dershowitz (who defended O.J. Simpson) has published a new book, *"Pre-emption: A Knife That Cuts Both Ways"* (Norton, 2006). Dershowitz had previously published a book *"Why Terrorism Works"* where he suggested that a legal framework to regulate the use of torture in the "war on terror" be implemented. The core reason for this is to keep Israel safe and sound, come what may. In "Pre-emption" Dershowitz puts his obviously brilliant legal mind to the doctrines of pre-emptive and preventive war and of pre-emptive and preventive assassination.

The U.S. now uses preventive detention, expansive surveillance, pre-emptive attacks on terrorist bases and murder – sorry, "targeted killing" of potential terrorists, and of course, full-scale preventive war against the enemies of Israel – sorry, of "democracy".

So, being a hard-nosed realist, Dershowitz says that the law should adapt to political reality.

Dershowitz disapproves of America's war on Iraq but only because no WMD were found. He disapproves of none of Israel's activities in making preventive attacks except the 1982 invasion of Lebanon, but only because the response by the Israelis was not reasonable in relation to the threat. On Iran he wants a pre-emptive strike even if there are huge civilian casualties due to Iran putting nuclear centres in civilian areas. It is selfish to put human rights of Iranians over the lives of Israelis he argues.

Dershowitz puts in personal anecdotes where he describes how he watched guided missiles being radar directed on the cars of Palestinians. The book is sprinkled with mathematics.

What these types of books are legitimating is really the end of the moral rule of law. Something resembling a technocratic rule of the jungle is being put into place.

OUT FOR A SMOKE by James Reed: Border protection – sure, Australia is really serious about it – after all, Johnny Howard told us so in the 2001 election. That is why two Chinese illegal fishermen were able to flee immigration officials when they were allowed to go out for a cigarette-break at Brisbane airport (*The Weekend Australian*, 6-7, 5/06, p.3). Although the crew of 15 Chinese and one Taiwanese were said not to be illegal fishermen, the boat was captured in Australian waters. Chairman of the Gulf of Carpentaria Commercial Fisherman's Association said: "This proves that the Australian Government is quite happy to have Indonesians and Chinese and other foreign fishermen fishing in our waters."

Meanwhile the Australian fishing industry's survival is threatened as never before. It's all part of the process of Asianisation Kali with respect to our race.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.